# Lockheed Martin Low-Cost F-35 Simulator

#### Senior Design Team 514

Francisco Lopez





#### **Meet the Team**



Jonah Gibbons Electrical & Manufacturing Engineer Laiken Kinsey Test Engineer & Project Manager

Francisco Lopez Mechanical & Product Design Engineer Branden Pacer Mechanical Engineer & Gimbal Designer Will Rickles Mechatronics Engineer Emelia Rodriguez Research Engineer

#### Francisco Lopez





#### **Sponsor and Advisor**





#### Andrew Filiault Mechanical Engineer, B.S. JSF F-35 Pilot Training and Training Infrastructure Systems

Brandon Krick Mechanical Engineer, Ph.D. Associate Professor



Francisco Lopez



### **Project Objective**



The objective of this project is to create low-cost F-35 flight controls that integrate with Lockheed Martin's simulator software to be used in the pilot training program

Francisco Lopez

4



#### **3D Printed Cockpit and Desktop Simulator**

Pilots train in simulators to develop muscle memory and learn the unique operating procedures of the aircraft



**3D Printed Cockpit** 



Simulator Training Flight



**Desktop Simulator** 

Francisco Lopez





#### **Rudder Pedal System**



- Rudder Pedal System (RPS):
   Controls the jet rudders, nose wheel steering and rear wheel brakes
- Initially developed by a previous senior design team, we integrated this RPS with minor modification

Francisco Lopez





#### **HOTAS System**

- HOTAS: Hands on Throttle and Stick
- Throttle: Controls the thrust from the jet engine
- Stick: Controls the pitch and roll axes of the aircraft
- Some aspects of the HOTAS from previous senior design team were incorporated in our version



Francisco Lopez





# **Key Goals**







Create finished, working prototype

Integrate physical sub-systems into the simulation software Keep manufacturing costs low Design for use in desktop or cockpit training models





### **Flight Control Functions**

#### Pilot Interface

 Controls closely mimic F-35 look and feel

#### Mechanical parts will withstand repeated use

#### Communicate to Software

- Controller position awareness
- Negligible input delay
- Simulated jet accurately responds to control inputs





#### **Critical Targets**



Will Rickles

10



### **Additional Targets**



Will Rickles

Department of Mechanical Engineering

DoD Design Criteria Standard MIL-STD-1472H 5.1.4.2.2.2.9
 DoD Design Criteria Standard MIL-STD-1472H 5.1.4.2.2.1.6



## **Final Design Selection**

- Stick: 2-axis gimbal, rotary sensors, custom USB microcontroller
- Throttle: linear square rail, rack and pinion with rotary sensor, custom USB microcontroller
- Rudder Pedal System: updated rotary sensors, custom USB microcontroller







## **Final Design Selection**

- Stick: 2-axis gimbal, rotary sensors, custom USB microcontroller
- Throttle: linear square rail, rack and pinion with rotary sensor, custom USB microcontroller
- Rudder Pedal System: updated rotary sensors, custom USB microcontroller





### **Final Design Selection**

- Stick: 2-axis gimbal, rotary sensors, custom USB microcontroller
- Throttle: linear square rail, rack and pinion with rotary sensor, custom USB microcontroller
- Rudder Pedal System: updated rotary sensors, custom USB microcontroller





### **Creating CAD Designs**



Department of Mechanical Engineering

\*2012 Anthropometric Survey of U.S. Army Personnel TR-15/007



15

#### **Throttle Mechanism**

- Rack and pinion utilized to sense linear displacement
- Nylon screw in slider attachment provides adjustable resistance
- Linear square rail resists axial moment





### **Throttle Prototype**

#### Prototype Results

- Rack and pinion are 3D printed
- Welded steel enclosure with a removable lid
- Wires are constrained to left side of box







### **Joystick Mechanism**



- Gimbal allows motion within target angle of deflection
- Single wave spring provides joystick resistance
- Wave springs reduce overall height of stick



### **Joystick Mechanism**

- Challenges creating smooth joystick control
  - Contact surfaces
  - Spring force and deflection
  - Integrating large rotary sensors
  - Centering of gimbal



13.5" Neutral Height





# **Joystick Prototype**

#### Results:

- Does not create distraction
- Rotary sensors have plenty of wire clearance
- Options available for increased resistance





# **Electronics Design**

#### Constraints

- Lots of buttons, switches, and rotary sensors need to connect to the simulator
- Communication must be fast
- Compatible with lots of computers
- Requested not to use Arduino as previous teams did







### **Electronics Solution**

#### PIC microcontroller:

- → 40 connection pins to use
- → 13 analog-to-digital channels
- → Powered by USB port
- Low-cost

#### Custom firmware:

- Code written specifically to process our signals and transmit them efficiently over USB
- Custom printed circuit board:
  - Built to match our exact needs for circuit components





Jonah Gibbons



# **Universal Serial Bus (USB)**





- Designed to be plug-andplay solution for any electronic device
- Capable of high-speed data transfer
- Generic drivers are standard on computers now







- 732 lines of code not including USB header files
- Written and compiled using Microchip's MPLAB X software



#### **Custom Printed Circuit Board**



Creating our own PCB from scratch allowed us to design it for our exact needs





### **Custom Printed Circuit Board**

#### ✤ 5-layer design

- → Separate signal layers
- Sandwich traces between ground planes to reduce signal noise (electro-magnetic interference)

Same layout used for all 3 controllers





### **Methods of Validation**



Laiken Kinsey

27



### **Joystick Validation**

#### Angle of deflection

- 🛶 Goal: 13°
- Backward: 13.9°
- → Left: <mark>14.7°</mark>
- → Right: <mark>13.3°</mark>
- Resistance to deflection
  - → Goal: <7.5 lbf
  - Pitch: 1.3 lbf
  - 🗻 Roll: 🛛 <mark>1.5 lbf</mark>
- \*
- Downward Force Test
  - Highest tested: 24.2 lbf







#### **Throttle Validation**

Travel Distance
 Goal: 6 in
 Distance: 6.06 in
 Resistance to motion
 Goal: <7.5 lbf</li>
 Resistance: 0.75 lbf
 Downward Force Test
 Highest tested: 26.5 lbf







#### **RPS Validation**

RPS Weight
 Goal: <35 lbs</li>
 Weight: 25 lbs
 Force of deflection
 Goal: <15 lbf</li>
 Left pedal: 11.2 lbf
 Right pedal: 13.5 lbf





#### **Latency and Bit Rate**

★ Latency
▲ Goal: 20 ms → 350 ms
▲ Average: 180 ms
♦ Bit Rate



Slow Motion Video







Emelia Rodriguez







Emelia Rodriguez









34

### Summary

#### Objective

- Create F-35 controls for low-cost simulation training
- **Targets** 
  - Working desktop prototype created within \$2000 limit
- > Design
  - Two subsystems built new, RPS improved
- Outcome
  - Flight tests have been successful, and system is fully integrated









**Emelia Rodriguez** 





#### **Final Demonstration**

Demonstrations completed: → Normal Takeoff and Landing Short Takeoff and Landing → Vertical Takeoff and Landing Aerobatic Flight Maneuvers



Emelia Rodriguez



#### **Lessons Learned**

Be sure to assemble prototypes early so there is ample time for adjustments or redesigns Defend your ideas but remain flexible and open-minded toward necessary changes

With multiple iterations, version control is essential when collaborating on parts with teammates Joining 3D prints together can be tricky, so plan for wide tolerances and other ideas like hardware

Parts lock up, wear out, and break, so budget for maintenance as well Keep tabs on everything because having a broader project awareness speeds everything up

Emelia Rodriguez



#### **Questions?**





Emelia Rodriguez

38







#### THIS SLIDE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK



#### THIS SLIDE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK



#### THIS SLIDE LEFT INTENTIONALLY BLANK



#### **Early Prototypes**







**Branden Pacer** 





43

#### Joystick High Fidelity Concepts:

Single-spring, ball joint— a ball in a socket with a single spring below to keep the neutral position upright

- The design is simpler to construct and easier to support from downward forces of pilot's hand
- Much harder to measure the joystick position with sensors







#### Joystick High Fidelity Concepts:

- Multi-plane gimbal— two-piece gimbal with axels connected to rotary sensors with individual springs to keep the neutral position upright
- This requires more intricate pieces to construct but is identical to the actual construction in an F-35 jet
- Linkages make it easier to measure position



**Branden Pacer** 







45

#### Throttle High Fidelity Concepts:

Multiple, tube rails— the throttle handle will slide along two parallel rails

- This concept was considered in order to resist the risk of torque damage and instability that a single tube rail would have
- Requires a lot of "from-scratch" design work on the cart and its bearings



Branden Pacer





46

#### Throttle High Fidelity Concepts:

Single, rectangular rail— the throttle handle will slide along a single rail with ball bearings in the grooves

This concept is very high-strength and the construction eliminates concerns of torque damage and excessive wear

It is pre-manufactured and low cost







#### Throttle Position Concepts:

Gears: rack and pinion— the sensor would be attached to a rack and pinion to actuate it when the throttle is moved

This concept is very simple and durable







#### **Throttle Position Concepts:**

Belt actuated— the sensor would be attached to a pulley with a belt around it which is fixed to the cart, moving with the throttle handle

This concept is could be tricky to design from scratch and requires more maintenance and adjustable tensioning



**Branden Pacer** 





49

Sensor High Fidelity Concepts:

Rotary Hall Effect— measures the strength of a magnetic field from a permanent magnet which moves inside

- Because the sensor doesn't rely on mechanical contact, it has a longer lifespan
- The sensors cost more







#### Sensor High Fidelity Concepts:

Potentiometer— contains a wound resistive element and a wiper contact which moves along the element providing a variable level of resistance

They are very low cost, standard, and easy to implement







# Microcontroller Options Individual controllers Common controller





**Branden Pacer** 

Department of Mechanical Engineering





52

#### **Printed Circuit Board Schematic**



Jonah Gibbons



#### **PCB** Validation

Electric Test Report  $\rightarrow$  Insulation Resistance - 20 M $\Omega$ Solderability Test Report → 245 +/- 5 °C for 3-5 seconds Thermal Stress Test Report → 288 +/- 5 °C for 10 seconds





- Joystick:
  - ----- Multiplane gimbal
  - 🛶 Ball joint
  - Linkages
- Throttle:

  - Belt system
- RPS:





#### **Preliminary Sketches**

Emelia Rodriguez



#### **Concept Selection Process**



**Branden Pacer** 





56

### **Binary Pairwise Comparison**

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total	IWF
1. Cheap to manufacture	-	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	5	4
2. Fits into desk and cockpit model	0	-	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	2
<ol> <li>Equipment fully integrated with Prepar3D</li> </ol>	1	1	-	1	0	1	1	1	1	7	5
4. Will be able to simulate flying a box	0	1	0	-	0	1	0	1	1	4	3
5. Complete, polished prototype	1	1	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	8	5
6. Components provide appropriate resistance	0	0	0	0	0	-	1	1	0	2	2
7. Provides accurate in-flight feel for F-35	1	1	0	1	0	0	-	1	0	4	3
8. Lower mechanical complexity	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	1	1	1
9. Withstand vigorous use	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	-	2	2
Total	3	5	1	4	0	6	4	7	6	n-1=8	





#### **House of Quality**

	Improvement	•		1						<b>^</b>
HoQ	direction		↓			•	. ↓	. ↓	•	
	Units	psi	S	•	lbs	\$	integer	in	hours	·
Customer Requirements	IWF	Material stength	Latency	Accuracy of position sensing	Applied resistance	Cost of Materials	Number of parts	Deviation from given dimensions	Time to complete	Aesthetics
Cheap to manufacture	4	1				9			1	
Fits into desk and cockpit model	2						1	9		
Equipment fully integrated with Prepr3D	5		9	9						
Will be able to simulate flying a box	3		3	9						
Complete, polished prototype	5								3	9
Components provide appropriate resistance	2	3			9					
Provides accurate in-flight feel for F-35	3		3	9	9			1		
Lower mechanical complexity	1						9			
Withstand vigorous use	2	9			3					
Raw Score (373)		28	63	99	51	36	11	21	19	45
Relative Weight %		7.5	16.9	26.5	13.7	9.7	2.9	5.6	5.1	12.1
Rank Order		6	2	1	3	5	9	7	8	4

William Rickles



## **Pugh Chart**

Soloction Critoria	Datum				Con	cepts			
Selection Criteria	Current LM F35 Sim "Wraith"	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Accuracy of Position Sensing		-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-
Latency		+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-
Applied Resistance		-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+
Aesthetics		+	-	S	S	+	-	S	S
Cost of Materials		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Material Strength		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
# of pluses		3	3	1	3	3	3	1	2
# of minuses		4	3	4	2	4	3	4	3

Concept	electrical	throttle	joystick	rps
1	hall & individual	single	ball	use existing
2	hall & individual	single	gimbal	use existing
3	hall & common	single	ball	use existing
4	hall & common	multi	gimbal	use existing
5	pot & individual	single	gimbal	use existing
6	pot & individual	multi	gimbal	use existing
7	pot & common	single	gimbal	use existing
8	pot & common	multi	gimbal	use existing

William Rickles

FAMU-FSU Engineering



## **Pugh Chart**

Soloction Critoria	Datum	Concepts					
	Past year projects	1	2	4	5	6	8
Accuracy of Position Sensing		-	+	+	+	+	+
Latency		+	+	+	+	+	+
Applied Resistance		S	+	+	+	+	+
Aesthetics		-	-	+	-	-	+
Cost of Materials		-	-	-	-	-	-
Material Strength		+	+	+	+	+	+
# of pluses		2	4	5	4	4	5
# of minuses		3	2	1	2	2	1

Concept	electrical	throttle	joystick	rps
1	hall & individual	single	ball	use existing
2	hall & individual	single	gimbal	use existing
3	hall & common	single	ball	use existing
4	hall & common	multi	gimbal	use existing
5	pot & individual	single	gimbal	use existing
6	pot & individual	multi	gimbal	use existing
7	pot & common	single	gimbal	use existing
8	pot & common	multi	gimbal	use existing





### **Pugh Chart**

Selection Critoria	Datum			Concepts					
Selection Criteria	Logitech pro flight	2	4	5	6	8			
Accuracy of Position Sensing		+	+	S	S	S			
Latency		S	-	S	S	-			
Applied Resistance		+	+	+	+	+			
Aesthetics		S	+	S	S	+			
Cost of Materials		-	-	+	S	S			
Material Strength		-	-	-	-	-			
# of pluses		2	3	2	1	2			
# of min	uses	2	3	1	1	2			

Concept	electrical	throttle	joystick	rps
1	hall & individual	single	ball	use existing
2	hall & individual	single	gimbal	use existing
3	hall & common	single	ball	use existing
4	hall & common	multi	gimbal	use existing
5	pot & individual	single	gimbal	use existing
6	pot & individual	multi	gimbal	use existing
7	pot & common	single	gimbal	use existing
8	pot & common	multi	gimbal	use existing

William Rickles

61





### **AHP Tables Targets**

	Accuracy of	Applied			Cost of	Matorial	Deviation
[C]	Position	Latency	Posistanco	Aesthetics	Matorials	Strongth	from Given
	Sensing		Resistance		Waterials	Strength	Dimensions
Accuracy of Position Sensing	1.000	1.000	5.000	3.000	3.000	7.000	9.000
Latency	1.000	1.000	3.000	3.000	1.000	5.000	5.000
Applied Resistance	0.200	0.333	1.000	1.000	1.000	5.000	7.000
Aesthetics	0.333	0.333	1.000	1.000	1.000	5.000	5.000
Cost of Materials	0.333	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	5.000	7.000
Material Strength	0.143	0.200	0.200	0.200	0.200	1.000	1.000
Deviation from Given Dimensions	0.111	0.200	0.143	0.200	0.143	1.000	1.000
Sum	3.121	4.067	11.343	9.400	7.343	29.000	35.000

Norm[C]	Accuracy of Position Sensing	Latency	Applied Resistance	Aesthetics	Cost of Materials	Material Strength	Deviation from Given Dimensions	Critera Weights {W}	Rank
Accuracy of Position Sensing	0.320	0.246	0.441	0.319	0.409	0.241	0.257	0.319	1
Latency	0.320	0.246	0.264	0.319	0.136	0.172	0.143	0.229	2
Applied Resistance	0.064	0.082	0.088	0.106	0.136	0.172	0.200	0.121	4
Aesthetics	0.107	0.082	0.088	0.106	0.136	0.172	0.143	0.119	5
Cost of Materials	0.107	0.246	0.088	0.106	0.136	0.172	0.200	0.151	3
Material Strength	0.046	0.049	0.018	0.021	0.027	0.034	0.029	0.032	6
Deviation from Given Dimensions	0.036	0.049	0.013	0.021	0.019	0.034	0.029	0.029	7
Sum	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	

Francisco Lopez





### **AHP Tables Targets**

	Consistency Check								
Weighted Sum Vector	Critoria Maights (M)	Consistency Vector							
{Ws}		{Ws}./{W}							
2.447	0.319	7.671							
1.724	0.229	7.537							
0.893	0.121	7.359							
0.878	0.119	7.361							
1.088	0.151	7.212							
0.230	0.032	7.194							
0.205	0.029	7.123							
		λ= 7.351							

CL= 0.058	
RI=1.35	
CR= 0.043	
	_

CR<0.1:)

$CI = \frac{\lambda - n}{n - 1}$	CR= CI RI	n= 7
----------------------------------	--------------	------

Francisco Lopez





#### **AHP Tables Accuracy**

[C]	2	5	8
2	1.00	5.00	5.00
5	0.20	1.00	1.00
8	0.20	1.00	1.00
Sum	1.40	7.00	7.00

Norm[C]	2	5	8	Criteria Weights {W}
2	0.714	0.714	0.714	0.714
5	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143
8	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143
Sum	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

CI=	0
RI=	0.5

CR= 0

Consistency Check				
Weighted Sum Vector	Maights (M)	Consistency Vector		
{Ws}		{Ws}./{W}		
2.14	0.71	3.00		
0.43	0.14	3.00		
0.43	0.14	3.00		
		λ= 3.00		
$CI = \frac{\lambda - n}{n - 1}$	CR= CI RI	n= 3		

0 <0.1

Francisco Lopez





#### **AHP Tables Latency**

[C]	2	5	8
2	1.00	0.33	7.00
5	3.00	1.00	7.00
8	0.14	0.14	1.00
Sum	4.14	1.48	15.00

Norm[C]	2	5	8	{W}
2	0.241	0.226	0.467	0.311
5	0.724	0.677	0.467	0.623
8	0.034	0.097	0.067	0.066
Sum	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

Consistency Check			
{Ws}	{W} {Ws}./{W}		
0.981	0.311	3.150	
2.018	0.623	3.241	
0.199	0.066	3.022	
	λ= 3.138		

$CI = \frac{\lambda - n}{n - 1}$	CR= CI RI	n= 3
----------------------------------	--------------	------

Francisco Lopez



**Department of Mechanical Engineering** 

CI= 0.069019 RI= 0.52 CR= 0.132728



#### **AHP Tables Cost of Materials**

[C]	2	5	8
2	1.00	0.14	0.20
5	7.00	1.00	3.00
8	5.00	0.33	1.00
Sum	13.00	1.48	4.20

Norm[C]	2	5	8	{W}
2	0.077	0.097	0.048	0.074
5	0.538	0.677	0.714	0.643
8	0.385	0.226	0.238	0.283
Sum	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000

		Check			
	{Ws}	{W}	{Ws}./{W}		CI= 0.032756
	0.222	0.074	3.013		RI= 0.52
	2.008	0.643	3.121		CR= 0.062992
	0.866	0.283	3.062		
		λ=	3.066		0.06<0.1 :)
CI-	<u>λ-n</u>	CR-	<u>CI</u>	n- 3	
01-	n-1	CN-	RI	11- 5	

Francisco Lopez

66







#### AHP for the targets resulted in the following data

Criteria	{W}	Rank
Accuracy of Position Sensing	0.319	1
Latency	0.229	2
Applied Resistance	0.121	4
Aesthetics	0.119	5
Cost of Materials	0.151	3
Material Strength	0.032	6
Deviation from Given Dimensions	0.029	7
	CR=0.043	







Accuracy of position sensing AHP

Concept	{W}	Rank
2	0.71	1
5	0.14	2
8	0.14	2
	CR=0	







#### Latency AHP

Concept	{W}	Rank
2	0.311	2
5	0.623	1
8	0.066	3
CR=0.133		







#### Cost of Materials AHP

[C]	{W}	Rank
2	0.074	3
5	0.643	1
8	0.283	2
CR=0.063		



